Prepared for submission to JHEP

Determination of the muonic branching ratio of the W boson and its total width via cross-section measurements at the Tevatron and LHC

Stefano Camarda[a] **Jakub Cuth**[b] **Matthias Schott**[b]

[a] *CERN, Geneva, Switzerland*
[b] *Johannes Gutenberg-University, Mainz, Germany*

E-mail: matthias.schott@cern.ch, stefano.camarda@cern.ch

Abstract: The total W-boson decay width Γ_W is an important observable which allows testing of the standard model. The current world average value is based on direct measurements of final state kinematic properties of W-boson decays, and has a relative uncertainty of 2%. The indirect determination of Γ_W via the cross-section measurements of vector-boson production can lead to a similar accuracy. The same methodology leads also to a determination of the leptonic branching ratio. This approach has been successfully pursued by the CDF and D0 experiments at the Tevatron collider, as well as by the CMS collaboration at the LHC. In this paper we present for the first time a combination of the available measurements at hadron colliders, accounting for the correlations of the associated systematic uncertainties. Our combination leads to values of $\mathrm{BR}(W \to \mu\nu) = (10.72 \pm 0.16)\%$ and $\Gamma_W = 2113 \pm 31$ MeV, respectively, both compatible with the current world averages.

ArXiv ePrint: 1607.05084

arXiv:1607.05084v2 [hep-ex] 16 Aug 2016

Contents

1 Introduction 1

2 Methodology 3

3 Measurements used for the combination 4

4 Theoretical predictions and systematic uncertainties 4

5 Extraction of the W-boson width and combination 6

6 Summary and interpretation 8

1 Introduction

Precise measurements of the W-boson properties, such as its mass m_W and its decay width Γ_W, allows testing of the standard model of particle physics. As a matter of fact, the relation between the W-boson mass, m_W, the top-quark mass, m_t, and the Higgs-boson mass, m_H, via loop corrections, allowed a prediction of the mass of the Higgs boson with an uncertainty smaller than 25 GeV. Models beyond the standard model could alter the relation between m_W and m_H, since new particles can appear in virtual loops. Similarly, the total decay width of the W boson can be altered by new particles.

Within the standard model, the total decay width of the W boson is predicted to be equal to the sum of the partial widths over three generations of lepton doublets and two generations of quark doublets. The partial widths are expressed as

$$\Gamma_{W\to f\bar{f}'} = \frac{|M_{f\bar{f}'}|^2 \cdot N_C \cdot G_F \cdot m_W^3}{6\pi\sqrt{2}}(1+\delta_f^{\text{rad}}(m_t, m_H, ...)), \tag{1.1}$$

where $M_{f\bar{f}'} = 1$, $N_C = 1$ for leptonic decays, $M_{f\bar{f}'}$ corresponds to the CKM matrix elements, and $N_C = 3 \cdot (1+\alpha_s(m_W)/\pi + ...)$ is the colour factor for the the quark-decay modes [1]. Radiative corrections are represented by $\delta_\ell^{\text{rad}} \approx 0.34\%$ for leptons and $\delta_q^{\text{rad}} \approx 0.40\%$ for quarks [2], which are small in the Standard Model (SM) since a large part of the corrections is absorbed in the measured values of $G_F = 1.1663787(8) \cdot 10^{-5}$ GeV^{-2} and $m_W = 80.385 \pm 0.015$ GeV. New particle candidates that couple to the W boson and are lighter than m_W, would therefore open a new decay channel and alter Γ_W. One very prominent example is supersymmetric models in which the W boson can decay to the lightest super-partner of the charged gauge bosons and the lightest super-partner of the neutral gauge bosons. Hence a precise measurement of Γ_W might reveal physics beyond the standard model. In addition, assuming SM relations, the dependence of the partial and

total widths of the W boson on the strong-coupling constant allows to determine the value of α_s from the hadronic and leptonic branching ratios of the W boson [3].

The total width of the W boson can be measured directly by kinematic fits to the measured decay lepton spectra, such as the transverse momentum of the charged lepton decay p_T or the high-mass tail of the transverse mass m_T as was performed at CDF and D0 [4–6], or via fits to the invariant mass distributions in the $qqqq$ and $qql\nu$ final states as was done at the LEP experiments [7]. A combination of these direct results, based on kinematic measurements, leads to $\Gamma_W = 2085 \pm 42$ MeV, which is currently used as world average value [8].

An independent determination of the W-boson width is based on the measurement of the ratio of cross sections of W- and Z-boson production in hadron collisions, defined as

$$R = \frac{\sigma(pp' \to W + X) \cdot \mathrm{BR}(W \to \ell\nu)}{\sigma(pp' \to Z + X) \cdot \mathrm{BR}(Z \to \ell\ell)},$$

where $\mathrm{BR}(V \to \ell\ell') = \Gamma_{V\to\ell\ell'}/\Gamma_V$ denotes the leptonic branching ratio of the vector-boson ($V = W, Z$) decays. The ratio R can be written as

$$R = \frac{\sigma_W}{\sigma_Z} \cdot \frac{\Gamma_{W\to\ell\nu}}{\Gamma_W} \cdot \frac{\Gamma_Z}{\Gamma_{Z\to\ell\ell}},$$

where the total cross-section ratio σ_W/σ_Z is known theoretically to high accuracy [9]. The ratio $\sigma_{Z\to\ell\ell}/\sigma_Z$ was precisely measured by the LEP experiments and therefore the leptonic branching ratio of the W boson, $\mathrm{BR}(W \to \ell\nu) = \Gamma_{W\to\ell\nu}/\Gamma_W$, can be inferred from the measurement of R. The advantage of extracting $\mathrm{BR}(W \to \ell\nu)$ from the cross-section ratio R lies in the fact that many experimental systematic uncertainties of each vector-boson cross-section measurement, such as the uncertainty on the integrated luminosity, are highly correlated and cancel in the ratio. The leptonic width of the W boson in the SM can be predicted by eq. 1.1 and is $\Gamma(W \to \ell\nu) = 226.5 \pm 0.1$ MeV.[1] The dominant uncertainty is due to the accuracy of m_W. Using this value, the total width of the W boson can be extracted by a measurement of the leptonic branching ratio. This approach for the determination of the W-boson width was already pursued by several experiments, in particular CDF [10], D0 [11], and CMS [12, 13], leading to measurements of Γ_W which have an accuracy comparable to the current world average.

In this paper we present a procedure for a first combination of the individual measurements of the muonic branching ratio of the W boson and of Γ_W, accounting for the correlations of the individual systematic uncertainties. We have chosen to focus on the muon decay channel, as it has smaller experimental uncertainties.

The paper is structured as follows: we introduce the basic methodology in section 2 and discuss the selected measurements for the combination in section 3, where we also derive the corresponding fiducial cross-section ratios. The theoretical predictions of the cross-section ratios are discussed in section 4 and the final extraction and combination of Γ_W for the different experiments is presented in section 5. The paper concludes with a brief summary

[1] Taken from ref. [2], with updated values of m_W and G_F, and $\alpha_s(m_W)$.

and a discussion of the consistency of the results with the direct measurements and with the global electroweak fit in section 6.

2 Methodology

The production cross section of W and Z bosons in hadron collisions is described by the Drell-Yan process [14] and can be experimentally defined as

$$\sigma_{\text{incl}}(pp \to V + X \to \ell\ell') = \frac{N_{\text{Cand}} - N_{\text{Bkg}}}{\epsilon \cdot \int Ldt} = \frac{N_{\text{Cand}} - N_{\text{Bkg}}}{C \cdot A \cdot \int Ldt},$$

where N_{Cand} and N_{Bkg} are the number of vector-boson candidates and the expected background events, respectively, and $\int Ldt$ is the integrated luminosity of the corresponding data sample. The factor ϵ is the efficiency of the signal events passing the signal selection criteria, which is typically estimated with simulated samples of the signal process, and corrected for differences in the detector response between data and MC simulation. The efficiency correction ϵ can be decomposed as the product of a fiducial acceptance, A, and a detector-induced correction factor, C, i.e. $\epsilon = A \cdot C$. The fiducial acceptance is the ratio of the number of events that pass the geometrical and kinematic requirements in the analysis at generator level over the total number of generated events in a simulated sample of signal process. The advantage of this decomposition is the separation to a large extent of detector and analysis related uncertainties, which enter the factor C, while all model and theoretical uncertainties, such as QCD scales and parton density function (PDF) uncertainties, enter A. The fiducial production cross section σ_{fid} within the detector acceptance volume defined by A, is barely affected by model uncertainties, and is related to the fully inclusive cross section by $\sigma_{\text{fid}} = \sigma_{\text{incl}} \cdot A$.

The strategy for the combination of several indirect $\text{BR}(W \to \mu\nu)$ and Γ_W measurements from various experiments is therefore based on the measured fiducial cross-section ratio

$$R_{\text{fid}} = \frac{\sigma_{\text{fid}}(pp' \to W + X) \cdot \text{BR}(W \to \ell\nu)}{\sigma_{\text{fid}}(pp' \to Z + X) \cdot \text{BR}(Z \to \ell\ell)},$$

which has only negligible model uncertainties and uncorrelated experimental uncertainties between the different experiments. The fiducial ratio R_{fid} can be related to the inclusive ratio R, by

$$R = \left(\frac{A_W}{A_Z}\right)^{-1} \cdot R_{\text{fid}},$$

where the A_W and A_Z are the acceptance correction factors for the Z- and W-boson analyses, respectively. Some published results only present a value for the inclusive cross-section ratio R, but do not publish a value for R_{fid}. In these cases, we have used the fiducial volume definition, the PDF set, and the MC generator of the corresponding analysis that were used to extract the acceptance ratio A_W/A_Z, in order to reconstruct the value of R_{fid}. The uncertainty on the extrapolated values of R_{fid} is estimated by subtracting the published model and PDF uncertainties from the total uncertainty on R.

Once the fiducial ratios are determined for each measurement, we can coherently predict the acceptance correction ratios A_W/A_Z and the inclusive fiducial cross-section ratios σ_W/σ_Z, and extract the corresponding branching ratio $\mathrm{BR}(W \to \mu\nu)$ and decay width Γ_W from the measurements of R_{fid}. Each model variation, e.g. one particular eigenvector variation of a given PDF set, leads to new predictions of A_W/A_Z and σ_W/σ_Z, thus also to new determined values of $\mathrm{BR}(W \to \mu\nu)$ and Γ_W for each experiment. The measurements are combined treating the experimental uncertainties as uncorrelated, and the PDF and model uncertainties with a correlation model based on a common baseline for the theoretical predictions.

3 Measurements used for the combination

One of the first precise measurements of the σ_W/σ_Z cross-section ratio was published by the D0 collaboration in proton anti-proton collisions at a centre-of-mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 1.8$ TeV [11]. However, this measurement was performed only in the electron decay channel and hence is not used for this combination. The most precise measurement at the Tevatron collider was performed by the CDF collaboration at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV [10], using the electron and muon decay channels. Only the inclusive cross-section ratio was published (table 1), but the clear definition of the fiducial volume, as reported in the paper, allows the extrapolation of the value of R_{fid}. The extrapolation factor A_W/A_Z is estimated using the Pythia 6.2 [15] generator with the CTEQ5L PDF set [16].

Several measurements of R were performed at the LHC by the CMS and ATLAS collaborations in proton-proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV and $\sqrt{s} = 8$ TeV [12, 13, 17], which are all used for the combination. In contrast to the Tevatron experiments, fiducial ratios together with a fiducial volume definition have also been published by the ATLAS and CMS experiments, as summarised in table 1. Hence no additional extrapolation to R_{fid} is performed for these measurements.

4 Theoretical predictions and systematic uncertainties

The total W- and Z-boson production cross sections and their ratio, corresponding to the the fiducial volume definitions of table 1, are calculated at next-to-next-to-leading order in the perturbative expansion of the strong-coupling constant with FEWZ [18] using the MMHT2014 PDF set [19]. The calculations are based on the G_μ electroweak parameter scheme and the strong-coupling constant at the Z-boson mass is set to $\alpha_s(m_Z) = 0.118$, as used in the MMHT2014 PDF determination.[2] The uncertainties of the PDF set are estimated by a reevaluation of the predicted cross-section ratio for each error eigenvector within the MMHT2014 PDF set, as well as the comparison to the central prediction using a second PDF set, which is chosen to be the CT10 [20] in this study. The uncertainties, at 68% CL, include contributions from the strong-coupling constant α_s as well as variations of the renormalisation scale, μ_R, and factorisation scale, μ_F.

[2] In this study, we used $G_F = 1.1663787 \cdot 10^{-5}$ GeV^{-2}, $m_W = 80385$ MeV, $m_Z = 91187.6$ MeV, and $\Gamma_Z = 2495$ MeV.

Experiment	Collider	Fiducial volume definition	R (published)	R_{fid}
CDF [10]	$p\bar{p}$, $\sqrt{s}$= 1.96 TeV	$p_T^\mu > 20$ GeV for $\|\eta\| < 1.0$ Z : $66 < m_{ee} < 116$ GeV W : $p_T^\nu > 20$ GeV	10.93 ±0.27 (stat) ±0.18 (sys)	7.46 ±0.18 (stat) ±0.12 (sys) (extrapolated)
ATLAS [17]	pp, $\sqrt{s}$= 7 TeV	$p_T^\ell > 20$ GeV $\|\eta_\ell\| < 2.5$ Z : $66 < m_{ll} < 116$ GeV W : $p_T^\nu > 25$ GeV, $m_T > 40$ GeV	10.91 ±0.11 (stat) ±0.17 (sys)	10.85 ±0.11 (stat) ±0.17 (sys) (published)
CMS [12]	pp, $\sqrt{s}$= 7 TeV	Z: $p_T^\mu > 20$ GeV for $\|\eta\| < 2.1$ Z: $60 < m_{ee} < 120$ GeV W : $p_T^\mu > 25$ GeV for $\|\eta\| < 2.1$	10.52 ±0.09 (stat) ±0.10 (sys)	11.95 ±0.10 (stat) ±0.20 (sys) (published)
CMS [13]	pp, $\sqrt{s}$= 8 TeV	Z: $p_T^\mu > 25$ GeV for $\|\eta\| < 2.1$ Z : $60 < m_{ee} < 120$ GeV W : $p_T^\mu > 25$ GeV for $\|\eta\| < 2.1$	10.44 ±0.14 (stat) ±0.30 (sys)	13.28 ±0.18 (stat) ±0.23 (sys) (published)

Table 1. The collider beams, the corresponding centre-of-mass energy, the fiducial volume definitions, the published inclusive cross-section ratio R, as well as the fiducial cross-section ratio R_{fid} are given for each analysis used for the combination. The fiducial ratio was not published for the measurements of the CDF experiment and the extrapolated value is shown.

The correct description of the vector-boson transverse momentum, $p_T(V = W, Z)$, is essential for the estimation of A_W and A_Z. Since fixed order perturbative QCD predictions do not provide a sufficiently good description of the low $p_T(V = W, Z)$ spectrum, we use the POWHEG MC generator interfaced to PYTHIA8, henceforth referred to as POWHEG+PYTHIA8, to estimate the central values for A_W and A_Z, using the MMHT2014 PDF set.

The uncertainties due to missing higher order QCD corrections are estimated by varying the renormalisation and factorisation scales, μ_R and μ_F, by a factor of two up and down, as well as by reevaluating the acceptance factors with *hdamp* set to $m_V (V = W, Z)$, instead of the default value $hdamp = \infty$ [21], in the POWHEG generator. The correlation of the μ_R and μ_F variations on the W- and Z-boson cross sections and acceptances can be treated according to various prescriptions. In the most conservative approach they are considered as fully uncorrelated, leading to an uncertainty of 0.5% on the predicted inclusive cross-section ratio. The uncertainty reduces by more than a factor of two when assuming a fully correlated behaviour. In the following we adopt an intermediate approach, and assume a correlation of 50%. In addition to these uncertainties, the acceptance factor ratio A_W/A_Z is also affected by other effects, which change the kinematic distribution of the final states, but has little effect on the inclusive cross sections. In particular, the uncertainties due to soft non-perturbative effects and initial-state radiation (ISR), which vary the transverse

momentum spectrum of the vector boson, $p_T(V)$, have to be estimated. To perform a conservative estimation, we reweight the predicted $p_T(V)$ from POWHEG+PYTHIA8 to corresponding predictions of the RESBOS generator [22–24]. RESBOS is based on a resummed calculation, which is performed at next-to-next-to-leading logarithmic order and matched to approximate NNLO perturbative QCD calculations at large boson momenta. The difference between the nominal POWHEG+PYTHIA8 predictions of A_W and A_Z and the RESBOS reweighted samples, is considered as an ISR and resummation uncertainty. The corresponding uncertainties on A_W/A_Z vary between 0.1% (ATLAS) and 0.4% (CMS). This difference can be explained by the larger effect on the W-boson selection for CMS, as it requires only a minimum threshold on the p_T of the decay muons.

Furthermore, NLO electroweak corrections can be comparable in size to NNLO QCD corrections. We distinguish between the corrections due to QED final-state radiation (FSR) and loop-induced electroweak corrections (EWK). The QED FSR related uncertainties are estimated by comparing SHERPA [25] and PYTHIA8 [26], where the acceptances are derived for both generators using dressed and bare leptons. The resulting differences in the predicted acceptance ratios are taken as the QED FSR model uncertainty and amount to 0.1%. The uncertainties due to loop-induced electroweak corrections are taken from literature [27] and are accounted for by 0.1% variations on A_W/A_Z.

We obtain 57 predictions for the cross-section ratios σ_W/σ_Z and the acceptance ratios A_W/A_Z, accounting for 50 MMHT PDF eigenvector variations, the central prediction of the CT10 PDF set, μ_R and μ_F scale variations, and variations of α_s. In addition, we have further uncertainties on A_W/A_Z due to ISR/resummation effects, QED FSR and NLO EWK effects. A summary of the cross-section ratios σ_W/σ_Z and acceptance ratios A_W/A_Z for each measurement, including the relevant model uncertainties, is given in table 2. The PDF uncertainties are evaluated with the Hessian method [28].

The uncertainties due to ISR and resummation, QED FSR and electroweak corrections, as well as the variations of μ_F and μ_R, are symmetrised by taking the average of the positive and negative variations.

5 Extraction of the W-boson width and combination

The total inclusive cross-section ratio for each experiment is estimated by combining the central values of A_W/A_Z reported in table 2 and the fiducial cross-section ratios R_{fid} from table 1. It should be noted that these derived values for R will differ from the original published values, as our baseline prediction for the estimation of A_W/A_Z differs from the approach followed by each experiment. Clearly, the advantage of having a common model for the theoretical predictions lies in the traceability of correlated systematic uncertainties. The published values of R are compared to the values obtained using the newly derived acceptance ratios as a first sanity check of our extrapolation. The derived values agree with the published values of the experiments within their associated model uncertainties.

In a second step, the expected leptonic branching ratios can be rederived for each experiment individually, using the predicted cross-section ratios, the measured fiducial ratios of the experiments reported in table 2, and the relation

Experiment	Quantity	Value	Scales (μ_R, μ_F)	ISR+ resummation	PDF	QED FSR + EWK	Total
CDF	A_W/A_Z	1.884	0.007	0.003	0.006	0.004	0.011
	$(\sigma_W/\sigma_Z)_{\text{pred}}$	3.391	0.005	-	0.013	-	0.014
ATLAS	A_W/A_Z	1.000	0.003	0.002	0.004	0.002	0.006
	$(\sigma_W/\sigma_Z)_{\text{pred}}$	3.395	0.012	-	0.020	-	0.023
CMS (7 TeV)	A_W/A_Z	1.135	0.003	0.006	0.005	0.002	0.008
	$(\sigma_W/\sigma_Z)_{\text{pred}}$	3.346	0.012	-	0.019	-	0.022
CMS (8 TeV)	A_W/A_Z	1.266	0.004	0.007	0.005	0.002	0.009
	$(\sigma_W/\sigma_Z)_{\text{pred}}$	3.326	0.013	-	0.020	-	0.023

Table 2. Predicted acceptance ratios A_W/A_Z for the extrapolation from the experimental fiducial region to the full phase space and predicted cross-section ratios σ_W/σ_Z for all measurements under consideration. In addition, the uncertainties due to initial-state radiation modelling and resummation model (ISR), factorisation and renormalisation scales, PDF, QED final state radiation uncertainties as well as electroweak corrections are given. It should be noted that the invariant mass requirement of the Z-boson selection is different between the analyses.

Experiment	BR . [%]	Stat.	Exp. sys.	Scales (μ_R, μ_F)	ISR+ resummation	PDF	FSR + EWK	Total
ATLAS	10.75	0.11	0.17	0.05	0.02	0.10	0.01	0.23
CMS (7 TeV)	10.59	0.09	0.18	0.05	0.05	0.10	0.02	0.23
CMS (8 TeV)	10.69	0.14	0.19	0.06	0.05	0.11	0.02	0.27
CDF	11.06	0.27	0.18	0.04	0.02	0.07	0.02	0.33
Combined	10.72	0.07	0.09	0.04	0.02	0.10	0.02	0.16

Table 3. Extracted values of $\text{BR}(W \to \mu\nu)$ [%] for all four measurements and their combination. The associated statistical, experimental, and model uncertainties are also given.

$$\text{BR}(W \to \mu\nu) = \frac{\Gamma_{W\to\mu\nu}}{\Gamma_W} = R \cdot \frac{\sigma_Z}{\sigma_W} \cdot \frac{\Gamma_{Z\to\mu\mu}}{\Gamma_Z}, \tag{5.1}$$

where a leptonic Z-boson branching ratio of $\Gamma_{Z\to\mu\mu}/\Gamma_Z = 0.033658 \pm 0.000023$ [8] is used. The results are presented in table 3. Assuming the validity of the SM, the partial leptonic W-boson width is predicted by eq. 1.1, leading to $\Gamma_{W\to\mu\nu} = 226.5$ MeV, where the $(1+\delta^{\text{rad}})$ corrections are taken from ref. [2]. Finally, the total W-boson width can be derived from the measured leptonic branching ratios. The resulting values for $\Gamma_W = \text{BR}\cdot\Gamma_{W\to\mu\nu}$ for each experimental measurement are illustrated in figure 2 and reported in table 4, together with the associated statistical, experimental systematic, and combined model uncertainties.

For the combination, we use the measured inclusive cross-section ratio R and the corresponding inclusive cross-section prediction for each model systematic variation, thus leading to new values of $\text{BR}(W \to \mu\nu)$ and Γ_W for each measurement, respectively. In a second step, we combine the individual measurements following the BLUE method [29], again,

Experiment	Γ_W [MeV]	Stat.	Exp. sys.	Scales (μ_R, μ_F)	ISR+ resummation	PDF	FSR + EWK	Total
ATLAS	2108	21	33	10	3	18	4	44
CMS (7 TeV)	2140	18	36	9	11	18	4	46
CMS (8 TeV)	2120	29	37	12	11	19	4	53
CDF	2050	51	34	8	4	13	4	63
Combined	2113	13	18	8	6	19	4	31

Table 4. Extracted values of Γ_W [MeV] for all four measurements and their combination. The associated statistical, experimental, and model uncertainties are also given.

separately for all model variations. For the combination of the four experimental values of $\mathrm{BR}(W \to \mu\nu)$ and Γ_W, we treat the statistical and experimental systematic uncertainties as fully uncorrelated. In a last step, we calculate the difference between the combined values of $\mathrm{BR}(W \to \ell\nu)$ and Γ_W for each model variation and their central combined values, and evaluate the theoretical and model systematic uncertainties from these differences, according to standard procedures. The results of the combination are

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{BR}(W \to \mu\nu) &= (10.72 \pm 0.07(\mathrm{stat.}) \pm 0.09(\mathrm{exp.syst.}) \pm 0.11(\mathrm{mod.syst.}))\% \\ &= (10.72 \pm 0.16)\%\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\Gamma_W &= 2113 \pm 13(\mathrm{stat.}) \pm 18(\mathrm{exp.syst.}) \pm 22(\mathrm{mod.syst.})\ \mathrm{MeV} \\ &= 2113 \pm 31\ \mathrm{MeV}.\end{aligned}$$

The results are shown and compared to the current world averages and to the SM predictions in figures 1 and 2, respectively. The statistical and systematic uncertainties of the combined measurement of Γ_W are 30% and 45% smaller, respectively, compared to the uncertainties of the most precise single measurement. The model uncertainties on the combined values does not significantly change and are dominated by PDF uncertainties.

6 Summary and interpretation

In this paper we have presented a combination of measurements of the muonic branching ratio of the W boson and its total decay width, extracted from the cross-section ratios of W- and Z-boson production from the ATLAS, CMS, and CDF experiments at various centre-of-mass energies. Special emphasis was drawn to the correct treatment of the correlations between systematic uncertainties, in particular uncertainties due to the limited knowledge of the parton distribution functions and variations of the renormalisation and factorisation scales. The combination yields $\mathrm{BR}(W \to \mu\nu)^R = (10.72 \pm 0.16)\%$ and $\Gamma_W^R = 2113 \pm 31$ MeV. The results are compatible in value, and similar in accuracy, to the current world averages



Figure 1. Reestimated BR($W \to \mu\nu$) values of the measurements under consideration as well as the combined value, the SM prediction, and the current world average.



Figure 2. Reestimated W-boson width values of the measurements under consideration as well as the combined value, the result of the global electroweak fit, and the current world average.

$\mathrm{BR}(W \to \mu\nu)^{\mathrm{WA}} = (10.57 \pm 0.15)\%$ and $\Gamma_W^{\mathrm{WA}} = 2085 \pm 42$ MeV, which are based solely on direct measurements.

The total width of the W boson is potentially sensitive to new physics scenarios in the context of the global electroweak fit [30]. The indirect determination via the electroweak fit yields a value of $\Gamma_W^{EW} = 2091 \pm 1$ MeV [31], which is in good agreement with our combined value. The relation expressed in eq. 1.1 shows that Γ_W depends, among other SM parameters, on m_W, α_s, and m_H. However, the small uncertainties on the determination of Γ_W^{EW} indicates that the sensitivity of Γ_W to these parameters of the SM is rather weak.

It should be noted that loop corrections arising from contributions of new physics to the W-boson width would alter the term δ^{rad} in eq. 1.1 independently from the decay channel. As a consequence, the branching ratio is insensitive to effects that could appear in the corresponding loop correction terms. Only new physics effects that directly alter the leptonic branching ratio can be tested with our combined value $\mathrm{BR}(W \to \mu\nu)^R$. We find a perfect agreement with the SM prediction $\mathrm{BR}(W \to \mu\nu)^{SM} = (10.83 \pm 0.01)\%$ [1, 2].[3]

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank M. Boonekamp, A. Glazov, K. Moenig, and S. Webb for the useful comments during the preparation this paper. This work was partly supported by the Volkswagen Foundation and the German Research Foundation (DFG).

References

[1] J. L. Rosner, M. P. Worah and T. Takeuchi, *Oblique corrections to the W width*, *Phys. Rev. D* **49** (1994) 1363–1369, [hep-ph/9309307].

[3] Using $\alpha_s(m_W) = 0.1203$, corresponding to the value of $\alpha_s(m_Z)$ used for the MMHT2014 PDF set, the SM prediction of $\mathrm{BR}(W \to \mu\nu)^{SM}$ changes to 10.82%.

[2] P. Renton, *Updated SM calculations of σ_W / σ_Z at the Tevatron and the W boson width*, 0804.4779.

[3] D. d'Enterria and M. Srebre, *α_s, V_{cs}, and CKM unitarity test from W decays at NNLO*, 1603.06501.

[4] CDF collaboration, T. Aaltonen et al., *A Direct measurement of the W boson width in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 1.96-TeV*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **100** (2008) 071801, [0710.4112].

[5] D0 collaboration, V. M. Abazov et al., *Direct measurement of the W boson width*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103** (2009) 231802, [0909.4814].

[6] T. E. W. Group, *Combination of CDF and D0 Results on the Width of the W boson*, 1003.2826.

[7] ALEPH collaboration, S. Schael et al., *Measurement of the W boson mass and width in e^+e^- collisions at LEP*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C47** (2006) 309–335, [hep-ex/0605011].

[8] Particle Data Group collaboration, K. Olive et al., *Review of Particle Physics*, *Chin. Phys.* **C38** (2014) 090001.

[9] S. Catani, L. Cieri, G. Ferrera, D. de Florian and M. Grazzini, *Vector boson production at hadron colliders: a fully exclusive QCD calculation at NNLO*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **103** (2009) 082001, [0903.2120].

[10] CDF collaboration, A. Abulencia et al., *Measurements of inclusive W and Z cross sections in p anti-p collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV*, *J. Phys. G* **34** (2007) 2457–2544, [hep-ex/0508029].

[11] D0 collaboration, B. Abbott et al., *Extraction of the width of the W boson from measurements of $\sigma(p\bar{p} \to W + X) \times B(W \to e\nu)$ and $\sigma(p\bar{p} \to Z + X) \times B(Z \to ee)$ and their ratio*, *Phys. Rev. D* **61** (2000) 072001, [hep-ex/9906025].

[12] CMS collaboration, S. Chatrchyan et al., *Measurement of the Inclusive W and Z Production Cross Sections in pp Collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV*, *JHEP* **10** (2011) 132, [1107.4789].

[13] CMS collaboration, S. Chatrchyan et al., *Measurement of inclusive W and Z boson production cross sections in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s}$ = 8 TeV*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **112** (2014) 191802, [1402.0923].

[14] S. D. Drell and T.-M. Yan, *Massive Lepton Pair Production in Hadron-Hadron Collisions at High-Energies*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **25** (1970) 316–320.

[15] T. Sjostrand, L. Lonnblad and S. Mrenna, *PYTHIA 6.2: Physics and manual*, hep-ph/0108264.

[16] CTEQ collaboration, H. Lai et al., *Global QCD analysis of parton structure of the nucleon: CTEQ5 parton distributions*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C12** (2000) 375–392, [hep-ph/9903282].

[17] ATLAS collaboration, G. Aad et al., *Measurement of the inclusive $W^\pm$ and Z/gamma cross sections in the electron and muon decay channels in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV with the ATLAS detector*, *Phys. Rev. D* **85** (2012) 072004, [1109.5141].

[18] R. Gavin, Y. Li, F. Petriello and S. Quackenbush, *FEWZ 2.0: A code for hadronic Z production at next-to-next-to-leading order*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **182** (2011) 2388–2403, [1011.3540].

[19] L. A. Harland-Lang, A. D. Martin, P. Motylinski and R. S. Thorne, *Parton distributions in the LHC era: MMHT 2014 PDFs*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C75** (2015) 204, [1412.3989].

[20] J. Gao et al., *CT10 next-to-next-to-leading order global analysis of QCD*, *Phys. Rev. D* **89** (2014) 033009, [1302.6246].

[21] S. Alioli, P. Nason, C. Oleari and E. Re, *NLO Higgs boson production via gluon fusion matched with shower in POWHEG*, *JHEP* **04** (2009) 002, [0812.0578].

[22] C. Balazs and C. P. Yuan, *Soft gluon effects on lepton pairs at hadron colliders*, *Phys. Rev. D* **56** (1997) 5558–5583, [hep-ph/9704258].

[23] G. A. Ladinsky and C. P. Yuan, *The Nonperturbative regime in QCD resummation for gauge boson production at hadron colliders*, *Phys. Rev. D* **50** (1994) 4239, [hep-ph/9311341].

[24] M. Guzzi, P. M. Nadolsky and B. Wang, *Nonperturbative contributions to a resummed leptonic angular distribution in inclusive neutral vector boson production*, *Phys. Rev. D* **90** (2014) 014030, [1309.1393].

[25] T. Gleisberg, S. Hoeche, F. Krauss, M. Schonherr, S. Schumann, F. Siegert et al., *Event generation with SHERPA 1.1*, *JHEP* **02** (2009) 007, [0811.4622].

[26] T. Sjostrand, S. Mrenna and P. Z. Skands, *A Brief Introduction to PYTHIA 8.1*, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* **178** (2008) 852–867, [0710.3820].

[27] G. Balossini, G. Montagna, C. M. Carloni Calame, M. Moretti, M. Treccani, O. Nicrosini et al., *Electroweak & QCD corrections to Drell Yan processes*, *Acta Phys. Polon.* **B39** (2008) 1675, [0805.1129].

[28] J. Pumplin, D. Stump, R. Brock, D. Casey, J. Huston, J. Kalk et al., *Uncertainties of predictions from parton distribution functions. 2. The Hessian method*, *Phys. Rev. D* **65** (2001) 014013, [hep-ph/0101032].

[29] L. Lyons, D. Gibaut and P. Clifford, *How to Combine Correlated Estimates of a Single Physical Quantity*, *Nucl. Instrum. Meth. A* **270** (1988) 110.

[30] ALEPH, CDF, D0, DELPHI, L3, OPAL, SLD, LEP Electroweak Working Group, Tevatron Electroweak Working Group, SLD Electroweak and Heavy Flavour Groups collaboration, L. E. W. Group, *Precision Electroweak Measurements and Constraints on the Standard Model*, 1012.2367.

[31] Gfitter Group collaboration, M. Baak et al., *The global electroweak fit at NNLO and prospects for the LHC and ILC*, *Eur. Phys. J.* **C74** (2014) 3046, [1407.3792].